

## WP 2: Dissemination of the results. Objective

- **To ensure a fair transfer of the results and instruments developed in the EURHOBOP project.**



## WP 3: Evaluation of the project. Objective

- **To monitor the internal Project evaluation plan and guaranty of compliance**



## WP 4: Benchmarking function development. Objective

- To analyse the accuracy of outcome prediction by preliminary benchmarking functions developed in EUPHORIC project, for in-hospital case-fatality after coronary angiography, thrombolysis, percutaneous intervention, and MI-UA management.



## WP 5: Availability of severity measurements in administrative data.

### Objective

- To explore the feasibility of including other indicators of performance in the benchmarking models.



## WP 6: Sex inequalities assessment. Objective

- To determine whether outcomes in these procedures and general MI and UA management differ by sex.



## WP 7: Cost analysis procedures. Objective

- **To analyse the costs of managing MI-UA and of the related procedures.**



## WP 8: Benchmarking assessment with hospital real-life data. Objective

- **To determine whether the distribution of expected outcome rates for the UA, MI management and PCI, thrombolysis and coronary angiography procedures for particular patient characteristics observed in the participant hospitals in European hospitals fits the observed distribution given hospital and country characteristics.**



# Tasks

- Coordination
- Retrospective Recruitment of SCA patients
- Benchmarking function validation / development
- Evaluation of the project
- Analysis of availability of severity variables
- Analysis of sex inequalities
- Analysis of cost/benefit of procedures
- Assessing the functions in real life
- Disseminating the results

