

EURHOBOP structured questionnaire for coordinator's on-site visit to Partners

Partner:

Jean Ferrières/ Vanina Bongard/ Jean-Bernard Ruidavets	AEPMCV Association pour l'étude et la prévention des maladies dégénératives du système cardio-vasculaire – "Project MONICA" Toulouse - FRANCE
--	--

Place:

Unité de Prévention de l'Athérosclérose
Service de Cardiologie B
Unité 02 (rez-de-chaussée), Bâtiment H1
CHU Rangueil
TSA 50032
31059 Toulouse cedex 9

Date: 6 May 2011

Investigators:

Jean Ferrières/ Vanina Bongard/Jean-Bernard Ruidavets

OBJECTIVE

This questionnaire is intended to capture the partner integration in the Project, what they think that could be done better in the coordinating center, to follow the progress of the WPs in which they participate / lead or specific tasks under their responsibility, and to discuss other topics relevant to the appropriate completion of the tasks and Budget expenditure.

How do you feel about your participation in EURHOBOP?

- 1) Integration / involvement
 - a. Fully
 - b. Comfortably
 - c. Fairly
 - d. Poorly
- 2) Interest & objectives-affinity with your own research topics of interest
 - a. Full
 - b. Adequate
 - c. Fair
 - d. Poor

Open comment:

How do you perceive the Coordinating centre?

- 1) Organization
 - a. Very good
 - b. Adequate
 - c. Fair
 - d. Poor
- 2) Communication & information exchange
 - a. Very good
 - b. Adequate
 - c. Fair
 - d. Poor
- 3) Funds transfer
 - a. Very good
 - b. Adequate
 - c. Fair
 - d. Poor

How could the coordination centre be improved in your opinion?

In which WP do you participate?

Work-package (WP) No	Work package title	Lead partner	IMAS IMIM	HCS ATTIK ON	DEASL	FMUP	HMGU	THL	AEPMC CV	ISS	HOPE
WP 1	Coordination of the project	IMAS-IMIM	X								
WP 2	Dissemination of the results	ISS	X				X	X		X	X
WP 3	Evaluation of the project	HMGU	X				X				
WP 4	Benchmarking function development	IMAS-IMIM	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
WP 5	Analysis of availability of severity measurements in administrative data	AEPMC CV	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X
WP 6	Sex inequalities assessment	DEASL	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
WP 7	Cost analysis of procedures	THL	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X
WP 8	Benchmarking assessment with hospital real-life data	HOPE	X								X

- Is there any other WP or activity in which you would like to participate?

Please describe:

How do you consider the progress of the tasks involved in the WP in which you participate in your country?

- WP 4 Task: patient Recruitment

- a) Very good
- b) Adequate**
- c) Fair
- d) Poor

- Data in country hospitals

- 1) discharge letters
 - a. All the data
 - b. Most of data**
 - c. Some of data
 - d. A few data
- 2) Computer records
 - a. All the data
 - b. Most of data
 - c. Some of data**
 - d. A few data
- 3) Medical records
 - a. All the data
 - b. Most of data
 - c. Some of data**
 - d. A few data
- 4) Emergency room records
 - a. All the data
 - b. Most of data
 - c. Some of data
 - d. A few data**

Comments:

- WP 2 Dissemination of the results

- a) Very good
- b) Adequate**
- c) Fair
- d) Poor
- e) Evaluation is premature

- WP 3 Evaluation of the project

- a) Very good
- b) Adequate**
- c) Fair
- d) Poor
- e) Evaluation is premature

- WP 4 Benchmarking function development

- a) Very good
- b) Adequate**
- c) Fair
- f) Poor
- d) Evaluation is premature

- WP 5 Analysis of availability of severity measurements in administrative data

- a) Very good
- b) Adequate**
- c) Fair
- g) Poor
- d) Evaluation is premature

- WP 6 Sex inequalities assessment

- a) Very good
- b) Adequate**
- c) Fair
- h) Poor
- d) Evaluation is premature

- WP 7 Cost analysis of procedures

- a) Very good
- b) Adequate**
- c) Fair
- i) Poor
- d) Evaluation is premature

Comments:

Please describe any difficulties you may be finding in any task involved in your participation in EURHOBOP

We are waiting for discovering the real data recorded by each country. Our proper WP5 task will depend on the availability of severity measurements in each patient form. We are ready to analyse data according to the statistical plan discussed during this seminar.

What percentage of your budget did you expend so far? Take into account that you should have spent > 70% of your period budget at the time of the next report to the EAHC?

At the time of the next report to the EAHC, we will have spent most (>80%) of our budget.

Other issues: