

EURHOBOP structured questionnaire for coordinator's on-site visit to Partners

Partner:

Christa Meisinger/ Inge Kirchberger	HMGU Helmholtz Zentrum München - Deutsches Forschungszentrum fuer Gesundheit und Umwelt Institute of Epidemiology / MONICA/KORA Myocardial Infarction Registry Neuherberg - GERMANY
--	--

Place:

Helmholtz Zentrum München - Deutsches Forschungszentrum fuer Gesundheit und Umwelt
Institute of Epidemiology / MONICA/KORA Myocardial Infarction Registry
Neuherberg - GERMANY

Date: 14 March 2011

Investigators:

Christa Meisinger/ Inge Kirchberger

OBJECTIVE

This questionnaire is intended to capture the partner integration in the Project, what they think that could be done better in the coordinating center, to follow the progress of the WPs in which they participate / lead or specific tasks under their responsibility, and to discuss other topics relevant to the appropriate completion of the tasks and Budget expenditure.

How do you feel about your participation in EURHOBOP?

- 1) Integration / involvement
 - a. Fully
 - b. Comfortably X
 - c. Fairly
 - d. Poorly
- 2) Interest & objectives-affinity with your own research topics of interest
 - a. Full
 - b. Adequate X
 - c. Fair
 - d. Poor

Open comment:

How do you perceive the Coordinating centre?

- 1) Organization
 - a. Very good **X**
 - b. Adequate
 - c. Fair
 - d. Poor
- 2) Communication & information exchange
 - a. Very good **X**
 - b. Adequate
 - c. Fair
 - d. Poor
- 3) Funds transfer
 - a. Very good
 - b. Adequate **X**
 - c. Fair
 - d. Poor

How could the coordination centre be improved in your opinion?

In which WP do you participate?

Work-package (WP) No	Work package title	Lead partner	IMAS IMIM	HCS ATTIK ON	DEASL	FMUP	HMGU	THL	AEPM CV	ISS	HOPE
WP 1	Coordination of the project	IMAS-IMIM	X								
WP 2	Dissemination of the results	ISS	X				X	X		X	X
WP 3	Evaluation of the project	HMGU	X				X				
WP 4	Benchmarking function development	IMAS-IMIM	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
WP 5	Analysis of availability of severity measurements in administrative data	AEPMCV	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X
WP 6	Sex inequalities assessment	DEASL	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
WP 7	Cost analysis of procedures	THL	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X
WP 8	Benchmarking assessment with hospital real-life data	HOPE	X								X

- Is there any other WP or activity in which you would like to participate?

Please describe:

How do you consider the progress of the tasks involved in the WP in which you participate in your country?

- WP 4 Task: patient Recruitment

- a) Very good X
- b) Adequate
- c) Fair
- d) Poor

- Data in country hospitals

- 1) discharge letters
 - a. All the data
 - b. Most of data
 - c. Some of data X
 - d. A few data
- 2) Computer records
 - a. All the data
 - b. Most of data
 - c. Some of data X
 - d. A few data
- 3) Medical records
 - a. All the data
 - b. Most of data
 - c. Some of data X
 - d. A few data
- 4) Emergency room records
 - a. All the data
 - b. Most of data
 - c. Some of data
 - d. A few data X

Comments:

- WP 2 Dissemination of the results

- a) Very good
- b) Adequate X**
- c) Fair
- d) Poor
- e) Evaluation is premature

- WP 3 Evaluation of the project

- a) Very good
- b) Adequate X**
- c) Fair
- d) Poor
- e) Evaluation is premature

- WP 4 Benchmarking function development

- a) Very good
- b) Adequate X**
- c) Fair
- f) Poor
- d) Evaluation is premature

- WP 5 Analysis of availability of severity measurements in administrative data

- a) Very good
- b) Adequate X**
- c) Fair
- g) Poor
- d) Evaluation is premature

- WP 6 Sex inequalities assessment

- a) Very good
- b) Adequate X**
- c) Fair
- h) Poor
- d) Evaluation is premature

- WP 7 Cost analysis of procedures

- a) Very good
- b) Adequate X**
- c) Fair
- i) Poor
- d) Evaluation is premature

Comments:

Please describe any difficulties you may be finding in any task involved in your participation in EURHOBOP

--

What percentage of your budget did you expend so far? Take into account that you should have spent > 70% of your period budget at the time of the next report to the EAHC?

About 50%

Other issues: